

## Unique Representation Theorem

**Theorem 1.** Let  $S$  be a vector space and  $T \subset S$  be non empty. The set  $T$  is linearly independent iff for each non-zero  $\underline{x} \in \text{span}(T)$ , there is exactly one finite subset of  $T$  denoted by  $\{\underline{p}_1, \underline{p}_2, \dots, \underline{p}_n\}$  and unique set of scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$  such that,

$$\underline{x} = c_1\underline{p}_1 + c_2\underline{p}_2 + \dots + c_n\underline{p}_n \quad (1)$$

*Proof.*

### Linear independence $\Rightarrow$ Unique Representation

We prove this by contradiction. Let  $T$  be a linearly independent set. Let us assume that there exists  $\underline{x} \in \text{span}(T)$  whose representation using  $T$  is not unique. Thus, there exists two subsets of  $T$ , namely  $P = \{\underline{p}_1, \underline{p}_2, \dots, \underline{p}_m\}$  and  $Q = \{\underline{q}_1, \underline{q}_2, \dots, \underline{q}_n\}$  such that,

$$\underline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \underline{p}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \underline{q}_i$$

where  $c_i$ 's and  $d_i$ 's are non-zero. Rearranging the terms, we obtain,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \underline{p}_i - \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \underline{q}_i = \underline{0} \quad (2)$$

As  $\underline{p}_i$ 's and  $\underline{q}_i$ 's belong to  $T$ , if  $P \cap Q = \emptyset$  then all  $\underline{p}_i$ 's and  $\underline{q}_i$ 's are different. This contradicts the fact that  $T$  is a linearly independent set as their non trivial linear combination cannot sum to  $\underline{0}$ . Hence, there must be some overlap between the two sets.

Let  $m < n$ . Equation 2 holds only if for every  $\underline{p}_i$ , there exists some  $\underline{q}_j$  such that  $\underline{p}_i = \underline{q}_j$  and  $c_i - d_j = 0$ . This is true as only trivial linear combination of the vectors in  $T$  can be  $\underline{0}$ . Renumbering the elements in  $Q$ , we obtain

$$\underline{p}_i = \underline{q}_i \text{ and } c_i = d_i. \quad (3)$$

Thus,  $P \subset Q$ . From equation 2 and 3,

$$\sum_{i=m+1}^n d_i \underline{q}_i = \underline{0} \quad (4)$$

As, if  $\underline{q}_i$ 's are nonzero they should be linearly independent and  $d_i$  are non-zero, the only possible solution is  $\underline{q}_i = \underline{0}$ . Neglecting the zero vector, we redefine  $Q = \{\underline{q}_1, \underline{q}_2, \dots, \underline{q}_m\} = P$ . Thus, the representation is unique.

### Unique Representation $\Rightarrow$ Linear independence

We prove this by contradiction. Let every vector  $\underline{x} \in \text{span}(T)$  have a unique representation in terms of vectors in  $T = \{\underline{t}_1, \underline{t}_2, \dots, \underline{t}_k\}$ . Let us assume that  $T$  is a linearly dependent set, then there exists  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ , where atleast one  $a_i$  is non-zero, such that,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \underline{t}_i = \underline{0}. \quad (5)$$

Let  $a_1$  be non-zero. Consider  $\underline{x} = \underline{t}_1 \in \text{span}(T)$ . From equation 5,

$$\underline{x} = \underline{t}_1 = -\frac{1}{a_1} \sum_{i=2}^k a_i \underline{t}_i. \quad (6)$$

As  $\underline{x}$  doesnot have a unique representation, this leads to a contradiction. Hence,  $T$  is a linearly independent set.  $\square$